

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | | |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------|--|--|
| 09/938,406 | 08/21/2001 | George H. Lowell | 40646-2000210 | 1965 | | |
| 20350 | 7590 05/17/2005 | | EXAM | EXAMINER | | |
| TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP | | | LUCAS, ZA | LUCAS, ZACHARIAH | | |
| EIGHTH FL | RCADERO CENTER OOR | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834 | | | 1648 | | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 05/17/200 | • | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|-----------------|---------------|--|--|
| 09/938,406 | LOWELL ET AL. | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| Zachariah Lucas | 1648 | | |

| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
|--|---|-------------------------|------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | Zachariah Lucas | 1648 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address | | | | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>06 May 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. | | | | | | | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: | | | | | | | |
| | a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. | | | | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). | | | | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | | | | | | | |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on 16 March 2005. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of | | | | | | | |
| the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the | | | | | | | |
| appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any repl AMENDMENTS | y must be filed within the time peri | od set forth in 37 CFF | R 41.37(a). | | | | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, | but prior to the date of filing a brie | f will not be entered l | necause | | | | |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further co | | | Decause | | | | |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | | | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be | • | educing or simplifying | the issues for | | | | |
| appeal; and/or | | | | | | | |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a | | jected claims. | | | | | |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 | • • • | | | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 | | ompliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). | | | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | | | | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). | llowable if submitted in a separate | , timely filed amendm | ent canceling | | | | |
| | ⊠ will not be entered or b) □ w | ill be entered and an | explanation of | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. | | | | | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3,4 and 6-18</u> . | | , | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: 7.3,4 and 6-76. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | • | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | | |
| 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good are and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe | al and/or appellant fa | ils to provide a | | | | |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | • | | • | | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by The arguments presented are based on the proposed a | | | | | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s). | | | | | | | |
| 13. Other: | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

TECHNOLOGY CENTED 1600

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The claims as proposed to be amended would require the presence of the bioadnesive emulsions in addition to the proteosomes, or instead of proteosomes. Such was not previously required by the claims, and would require additional search and examination.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Zachariah Lucas whose telephone number is 571-272-0905. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8 am to 4:30 pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Housel can be reached on 571-272-0902. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pairdirect.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Patent Examiner